

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boy. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/973,226	10/09/2001	Sanjeev Kothari	CT2545ACIP	7273
23914 75	90 10/25/2004		EXAMINER	
STEPHEN B. DAVIS			PAGE, THURMAN K	
BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY PATENT DEPARTMENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 4000			1615	
PRINCETON, NJ 08543-4000			DATE MAILED: 10/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/973,226	KOTHARI ET AL.				
havioury house.	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thurman K. Page	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 April 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: none						
Claim(s) objected to: none.						
Claim(s) rejected: 2,4, 7-8, 20-27, 30, 32, 33, 35, 36, 38-41, and 43-48.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
□ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☐ Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/973,226

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The presentation of a declaration after final is not supported by reasons as to why the declaration was not presented earlier. This declaration would require further reconsideration and search. The declaration addresses the issue of non analogous art and makes statements that argue the definiton of flash melt, said arguments being contrary to US Patent 6,596,311which appears to equates dissolving in the mouth and dissolving in a glass of water. A further review of the art would be required to compare what would be representative of those of one of ordinary skill in this art. The examiner also refuses entry of the amendment which broadens the scope of the claims addressed in the final rejection. In particular, applicants now present new claim 49 which does not require any of the particular medicaments specifically required in the generic claims prosecuted. The presentation of a generic claim at this time would require a new search. There are additional references directed to flash processing such as 5,587,172, 5,622,719 and 6,284,270, which are not directed to the specific drugs in the previously prosecuted claims, but are directed to flash melt fast, dissolving drug formulations. This would require a review of the entire record. For the above reasons, the amendment is refused.

THURMAN K. PAGE, M.A., J.D.
SUPERVISORY PAVENT EXAMINER